

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO |
|--|-------------|----------------------|-------------------------|-----------------|
| 09/966,221 | 09/28/2001 | Thomas S. Laubner | 17655 | 5888 |
| 7590 03/23/2004 | | | EXAMINER | |
| Tyco Technology Resources | | | WIMER, MICHAEL C | |
| Suite 450 4550 New Linden Hill Road | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| Wilmington, DE 19808-2952 | | | 2821 | |
| | | | DATE MAILED: 03/23/2004 | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| -1 | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|--|-----------------------------------|--|--|
| | | Application No. | Applicant | (s) | | |
| . 1 | | 09/966,221 | LAUBNER | ET AL. | | |
| | Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | | | |
| | | Michael C. Wime | г 2821 | | | |
| Period for | The MAILING DATE of this communi | cation appears on the cover | sheet with the corresponde | ence address | | |
| A SHO THE M - Extensi after SI - If the p - If NO p - Failure Any rep | RTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR AILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNIONS of time may be available under the provisions X (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this commercial for reply specified above is less than thirty (30 eriod for reply is specified above, the maximum state to reply within the set or extended period for reply ly received by the Office later than three months at patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | CATION. of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, howe unication.)) days, a reply within the statutory min tutory period will apply and will expire swill, by statute, cause the application to | ever, may a reply be timely filed imum of thirty (30) days will be conside SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § | e of this communication. 133). | | |
| Status | | | • | | | |
| 1)⊠ F | Responsive to communication(s) file | d on <u>11 February 2004</u> . | | | | |
| 2a)∐ T | his action is FINAL . | b)⊠ This action is non-fina | ıl. | | | |
| 3)□ S | ·= | | | | | |
| C | losed in accordance with the practic | e under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1 | 935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213 | 3. | | |
| Dispositio | n of Claims | | | | | |
| · | laim(s) <u>1,3-15 and 17-36</u> is/are pen | ding in the application | | | | |
| | a) Of the above claim(s) is/ar | - · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | ation | • | | |
| | claim(s) is/are allowed. | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | | | | |
| · | Claim(s) <u>1,3-5,7-15 and 18-36</u> is/are | reiected. | | | | |
| | laim(s) <u>6 and 17</u> is/are objected to. | - | | | | |
| · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | laim(s) are subject to restrict | ion and/or election requirer | nent. | | | |
| Application | n Papers | | | | | |
| 9)□ TI | ne specification is objected to by the | Examiner | | | | |
| <i>,</i> — | ne drawing(s) filed on is/are: | | ected to by the Examiner. | v | | |
| | pplicant may not request that any objec | | | 35(a). | | |
| | eplacement drawing sheet(s) including | | • | • • | | |
| 11)[] TI | ne oath or declaration is objected to | by the Examiner. Note the | attached Office Action or fo | orm PTO-152. | | |
| Priority un | der 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | • | | | |
| a) <u></u> 1 2 3 | cknowledgment is made of a claim for All b) Some * c) None of: Certified copies of the priority of Certified copies of the priority of Copies of the certified copies of application from the Internation of the attached detailed Office action | documents have been recei documents have been recei of the priority documents ha al Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(| ved. ved in Application No ve been received in this Na a)). | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| Attachment(s |) | | | | | |
| | of References Cited (PTO-892) | | nterview Summary (PTO-413) | | | |
| 3) 🔯 Informa | of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PT tion Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or F lo(s)/Mail Date <u>19</u> . | PTO/SB/08) 5) □ N | Paper No(s)/Mail Date Notice of Informal Patent Applicati Other: | on (PTO-152) | | |

Application/Control Number: 09/966,221

Art Unit: 2821

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1,3-5,7,8,14,15,18 and 23-36 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Openlander (6157348) in view of Murphy et al (4051477).

Regarding Claims 1,3-5,7,8,14,15,18 and 23-36, Openlander describes a microstrip antenna used in a mobile vehicle, in column 1, lines 18-29, where the antenna may be made from p.c. board materials and techniques with an upper patch/disk mounted above a ground plane with the p.c. board therebetween, and the lower ground plane is mounted to metal body of the vehicle. Two ground planes are employed here in such an arrangement. Figures 3 and 4 of Openlander show the patch 44 disposed over the ground plane 42 via dielectric posts. It would have been obvious to the skilled artisan to employ a p.c. board to support the patch and ground plane as taught by Openlander in column 1. The embodiment in Figures 3 and 4 is to be mounted upon a vehicle body which is the first conductive ground plane claimed. The second ground plane is that 42 which raises the patch 44. A feed means 56 is shown. Murphy et al are cited as teaching that it is known to decrease the radiation angle of a microstrip antenna by raising it above a second ground plane (see Figures 5-7 of Murphy et al). The

Application/Control Number: 09/966,221

Art Unit: 2821

lens 60 in Openlander lowers the radiation beam below 45 degrees as claimed. It would have been obvious to employ the techniques of Murphy et al in the Openlander et al antenna, particularly since there are two ground planes employed therein.

The lens 60-64 is formed as a dome. Specific gain and angles in the pattern are obvious to vary to the skilled artisan because they depend upon materials used for the lens and its thickness.

3. Claims 9-13,19-22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Openlander in view of Murphy et al as applied to claims above, and further in view of Nichols et al.

Adding a monopole to a microstrip antenna is shown to be obvious by Nichols et al in Fig. 3, where a dielectric 68 is disposed around the monopole 66. It would have been obvious to add a monopole to the Openlander/Murphy et al antenna for adding an additional frequency band in the system.

Allowable Subject Matter

4. Claims 6 and 17 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Michael C. Wimer whose telephone number is (571) 272-1833. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Don K. Wong can be reached on (571) 272-1834. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Michael C. Wimer Primary Examiner Art Unit 2821

MCW 08 March 2004